

**ATTACHMENT 3**  
**PRE-TRIAL FELONY MENTAL HEALTH DIVERSION PROGRAMS**  
**Population Targets By County and Potential (Benchmark) Funding Allocation**

*Instructions: To assist with planning, the population targets (number of potential clients) that fall within the 20-30% range for each county are referenced below. This information is to be used as a benchmark for planning and a factor used to estimate the distribution of potential funding, also referenced below. The potential funding displayed below is not guaranteed to all counties.*

| Counties      | POPULATION TARGETS           |                  |                   | POTENTIAL FUNDING |                |
|---------------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
|               | FY 2016-17<br>FIST Referrals | Low End @<br>20% | High End @<br>30% | Low End @ 20%     | High End @ 30% |
| Alpine        | 0                            | 0.0              | 0.0               |                   |                |
| Amador        | 3                            | 0.6              | 0.9               | \$85,200          | \$127,800      |
| Butte         | 30                           | 6.0              | 9.0               | \$852,000         | \$1,278,000    |
| Calaveras     | 8                            | 1.6              | 2.4               | \$227,200         | \$340,800      |
| Colusa        | 2                            | 0.4              | 0.6               | \$56,800          | \$85,200       |
| El Dorado     | 10                           | 2.0              | 3.0               | \$284,000         | \$426,000      |
| Glenn         | 6                            | 1.2              | 1.8               | \$170,400         | \$255,600      |
| Humboldt      | 23                           | 4.6              | 6.9               | \$653,200         | \$979,800      |
| Imperial      | 15                           | 3.0              | 4.5               | \$426,000         | \$639,000      |
| Inyo          | 1                            | 0.2              | 0.3               | \$28,400          | \$42,600       |
| Kings         | 35                           | 7.0              | 10.5              | \$994,000         | \$1,491,000    |
| Lake          | 26                           | 5.2              | 7.8               | \$738,400         | \$1,107,600    |
| Lassen        | 0                            | 0.0              | 0.0               |                   |                |
| Madera        | 27                           | 5.4              | 8.1               | \$766,800         | \$1,150,200    |
| Mariposa      | 0                            | 0.0              | 0.0               |                   |                |
| Mendocino     | 13                           | 2.6              | 3.9               | \$369,200         | \$553,800      |
| Merced        | 45                           | 9.0              | 13.5              | \$1,278,000       | \$1,917,000    |
| Modoc         | 0                            | 0.0              | 0.0               |                   |                |
| Mono          | 0                            | 0.0              | 0.0               |                   |                |
| Monterey      | 39                           | 7.8              | 11.7              | \$1,107,600       | \$1,661,400    |
| Napa          | 20                           | 4.0              | 6.0               | \$568,000         | \$852,000      |
| Nevada        | 6                            | 1.2              | 1.8               | \$170,400         | \$255,600      |
| Orange        | 55                           | 11.0             | 16.5              | \$1,562,000       | \$2,343,000    |
| Plumas        | 0                            | 0.0              | 0.0               |                   |                |
| San Benito    | 10                           | 2.0              | 3.0               | \$284,000         | \$426,000      |
| San Mateo     | 42                           | 8.4              | 12.6              | \$1,192,800       | \$1,789,200    |
| Shasta        | 26                           | 5.2              | 7.8               | \$738,400         | \$1,107,600    |
| Sierra        | 0                            | 0.0              | 0.0               |                   |                |
| Siskiyou      | 6                            | 1.2              | 1.8               | \$170,400         | \$255,600      |
| Sutter        | 7                            | 1.4              | 2.1               | \$198,800         | \$298,200      |
| Tehama        | 5                            | 1.0              | 1.5               | \$142,000         | \$213,000      |
| Trinity       | 8                            | 1.6              | 2.4               | \$227,200         | \$340,800      |
| Tulare        | 52                           | 10.4             | 15.6              | \$1,476,800       | \$2,215,200    |
| Tuolumne      | 5                            | 1.0              | 1.5               | \$142,000         | \$213,000      |
| Ventura       | 57                           | 11.4             | 17.1              | \$1,618,800       | \$2,428,200    |
| Yuba          | 10                           | 2.0              | 3.0               | \$284,000         | \$426,000      |
| <b>TOTALS</b> | <b>592</b>                   | <b>118.4</b>     | <b>177.6</b>      |                   |                |

Population Target Methodology/Assumptions:

- 1) Major Program Goal: Reduce IST referrals to DSH by approximately 20-30% as compared to FY 2016-17.
- 2) Establish range for DSH Diversion population for each county by calculating 20-30% of the total FY 2016-17 IST referrals to DSH.
- 3) Numbers are rounded to nearest tenth of a percent

Funding Allocation Methodology/Assumptions:

- 1) Not all funds displayed can be awarded. The information displayed is a point of reference (benchmark) for planning purposes.
- 2) Establish standardized allocation rate per client: Total Funds divided by total DSH Target Population (\$99.5M / 700 = \$142,000 allocation rate)
- 3) Apply standardized allocation rate to each county's target population range to establish a potential low to high end funding range